

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/458,677	12/10/1999	WILLIAM MUTILANGI	2105.2050	9164		
5514	7590 08/13/2003					
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEF	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112		CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1761	16		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09 458,6	171	MULT	ILANGI	ETAL	
	Examiner	1		Group Art Unit		
	ARTHUR	L . (-4581N	1761		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et ber	eath the co	respondence a	address —	
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE		_ MONTH(S)	FROM THE M	AILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	rs, a reply within the statutor default, expire SIX (6) MONTI by statute, cause the applica	y minin HS fron ition to	num of thirty (30 n the mailing da become ABAN	o) days will be con te of this commur DONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. ication. § 133).	
Status	0 / 0 2					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					· ·	
FThis action is FINAL.						
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,			ecution as to	o Whe meriks is	closed in	
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) (- G			is/are pe	ending in the ap	plication.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are w	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
_ *		_ is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)	-		is/are al	lowed.		
☐ Claim(s)			is/are al is/are re	lowed. jected.		
			is/are re	jected.		
☐ Claim(s)	<u> </u>		is/are re is/are ob are subj	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers			is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approv	red [is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers	is □ approv	red [is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Diam(s) Diam(s) Diam(s) Diam(s) Diam(s)	is □ approv	red [is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approv	red [is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approv	red [is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approvolution is □ approvolution is □ is □ approvolution is □ is	red □	is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren disapproved	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(is □ approvolution is □ approvolution is □ is □ approvolution is □ is	red □	is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren disapproved	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(is □ approvention is □ approv	red □	is/are re is/are ob are subj requiren disapproved	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved in Application is □ is	red □ iner I9 (a)— on No	is/are re is/are ot is/are ot are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.	n or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have be Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be	is approved in Application ents have been received.	red □ iner I9 (a)— on No	is/are re is/are ot is/are ot are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.		
Claim(s) Cla	is approved in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	red [iner iner on Noed	is/are re is/are of is/are of are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have be Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be	is approved in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	red [iner iner on Noed	is/are re is/are of is/are of are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.		
Claim(s) Cla	is approved in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	red [iner iner on Noed	is/are re is/are of is/are of are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.		
Claim(s) Cla	is approved in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	red [iner iner on Noed	is/are re is/are of is/are of are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. Djected to. ect to restriction nent d.		
Claim(s) Cla	is approved in Applicational Bureau (PCT Rule	red □ iner 19 (a)— on No ed 17.2(a	is/are re is/are of is/are of are subj requiren disapproved (d).	jected. bjected to. ect to restriction nent d.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German patent DE 3,331,517 (as discussed on page 2, lines 16-31 of applicant's spec.) in view of Jenner et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 3, Paper No. 5.

- declaration have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While said declaration does provide sufficient evidence to overcome the rejection based upon Stray-Gunderson as the primary reference, the evidence does not provide sufficient evidence to overcome the rejection set forth above. There is no factual evidence of record that the combination of aesulfame-K and sucrose provides unexpected results. In fact, applicant admits that the beneficial effects of blending artificial sweeteners are well known (page 3 of remarks).
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh August 11, 2003

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER